



JOE LOMBARDO
Governor

CANNABIS COMPLIANCE BOARD STATE OF NEVADA

ccb.nv.gov
CARSON CITY OFFICE
3850 Arrowhead Drive, Suite 100
Carson City, Nevada 89706
Main Line: (775) 687-6299

LAS VEGAS OFFICE
700 East Warm Springs Road, Suite 100
Las Vegas, Nevada 89119

MICHAEL MILES
Executive Director

MAJ. GEN. ONDRA BERRY, (RET.)
Chair

Nevada Cannabis Advisory Commission Subcommittee on Scheduling Hemp Meeting Minutes September 2nd, 2026

The Nevada Cannabis Advisory Commission Subcommittee on Scheduling Hemp held a meeting via Teams on **September 2nd, 2026**, at 2:30p.m.

Members Present:

Chair - Layke Martin

Bob Ragar

Michael Cathcart

**Assemblymember Brittany Miller – arrived
2:33pm**

Member's Absent:

Mike Edgell

Chair Martin called the meeting to order at 2:30p.m.

I. Public Comment

- a. There was no one online to provide public comment.

II. Discussion of Draft Report and Recommendations of the Subcommittee on Scheduling Hemp to the Cannabis Advisory Commission (for discussion only)

- a. Chair Martin introduced this meeting as the final subcommittee meeting for the CAC on consumable hemp.
 - i. Chair Martin discussed the challenge of drafting and finalizing the report as federal law is in a constant state of flux citing that just the day before the U.S. House of Representatives approved a stopgap spending measure. That measure contains language extending the effective date certain portions of last year's restrictions on hemp from November 12th, 2026, to December 11th, 2026. The language specifically includes the limit of .4 milligrams of THC per container and most restrictions that affect naturally occurring hemp but still exclude synthetic cannabinoids that cannot be naturally produced by the plant. Chair Martin expressed that she would make conforming edits to address the updated effective date.
 - ii. Chair Martin stated that she'd like to focus first on the recommendations, particularly the places where recent changes were made and then open the discussion for final comments.
 1. Changes made to the report include moving the statement to maintain alignment with the federal definition of hemp set to go into effect on

December 11th to the beginning, separating the recommendations to establish a statewide regulatory framework and delineation of agency responsibility, and additional information regarding fiscal notes. Member Ragar mentioned at the last meeting that transferring authority for consumable to hemp to the Nevada Department of Agriculture (NDA) would require a fiscal note that led to the additional references in the report about potential costs incurred by legislative changes. Chair Martin then opened the discussion to the subcommittee members for questions and clarifications.

- a. Member Cathcart commended Chair Martin and praised the inclusion of being flexible if federal law changes and that the legislature should react to what happens at the federal level. Member Ragar agreed.
- b. Member Cathcart then asked what path these recommendations would take once they were presented to the full CAC.
 - i. Chair Martin stated that she wasn't quite sure if, once approved, it would be handed off to an Assemblymember, specifically Assemblymember Miller, and if legislative language would need to be drafted outside of a parallel bill she was working on with a CCB Deputy Attorney General (DAG).
 - ii. Member Miller gave an overview of where the Nevada legislature is currently in the legislative process. She stated that most interim committee business has ended except for finance committees, and all the Bill Draft Requests (BDRs) have been submitted. This subcommittee's recommendations would not have the opportunity to be an interim bill, but State Officers or DAGs can propose bills. If a DAG brought a bill to the legislature, it would come through the Attorney General's because all state officers get a certain number of bills they can submit. Member Miller concluded that she wanted to be transparent so that the public knows that any bill that was created from the subcommittee's recommendations would go through the same process that any other bill would.

III. Consideration for the Approval of Report for the Cannabis Advisory Commission (for possible action)

- a. Chair Martin thanked the members and proposed the approval of the report while acknowledging that the final report will incorporate the changes discussed based on the change in federal law. Member Cathcart moved to approve the report and Member Miller seconded. The motion passed unanimously.

IV. Public Comment

- a. There was no one online to provide public comment.

V. Adjournment

- a. Chair Martin expressed her appreciation to everyone on the subcommittee for the time and thought they put into the process as consumable hemp is a difficult issue to work through,

and the subcommittee covered a lot in an area where both the law and the market are changing. She also thanked CCB staff and their DAGs, especially Emily Bordelove, everyone who testified or provided information, and Natalie Simes on her team for her help editing the comprehensive report for the full Commission and ultimately the legislature to consider.

- i. Members Cathcart, Miller, and Ragar thanked Chair Martin.
- b. Chair Martin adjourned the meeting at 2:43pm.

DRAFT