

For the record Dan Steele,

The agenda states .."Propose and define qualifying criteria of women-owned, minority-owned, veteran-owned and LGBTQ-owned businesses as applicable to NRS 678A.310"

This is missing local agriculture. Which I associate with medical patients. The industry was built on their right to locally grow cannabis agriculturally.

"A subcommittee on market participation to review and make recommendations on matters relating to the participation of women-owned businesses, minority-owned businesses, veteran-owned businesses, LGBTQ-owned businesses and **local agriculture** in the cannabis industry in this State"

Also id like to tag this

NRS 678A.450 (d) Policies and procedures to ensure that the cannabis industry in this State is economically competitive, inclusive of racial minorities, women and persons and communities that have been adversely affected by cannabis prohibition and accessible to persons of low-income seeking to start a business.

There is a huge focus on minorities and women getting access to the industry. But the NRS also said "local agriculture", and "people and communities adversely affected by prohibition".

Nevada's home growing laws need to be changed. Growing in Nevada needs to be normalized like all the other legal states. Here, It has a blanket criminalization over it. So to this very day, there are communities being adversely affected by cannabis prohibition. Lives being damaged and destroyed. You can't talk about home growing in Nevada. This corporate rat race of an industry gets wind of it, and it's a death sentence for someone to say, you broke your back and use cannabis medically.

I believe this blanket criminalization of cannabis consumers in Nevada is wrong. I think it's a reflection on the application parameters targeting MSO's and MBAs.

It says a lot about Nevada's intentions of social justice around cannabis prohibition. And ultimately, how Nevada as a state compares to other states in terms of civil liberties.

Home growing should be normalized, and consumer culture should be respected. Medical patients being licensed with the state (local agriculture NRS 678A.310), should have added benefits on top of having more plants or allowing the industry to operate. They should have methods to sell to consumers. Seeds or clones, topical lotions could be profitable. Maybe prerolls to consumption lounges. But medical patients should qualify for consumption lounges. Cannabis microbusinesses are designed to help those who are not vertical, by giving them vertical. Nationally, cannabis microbusinesses are closely tied to cannabis prohibition reform.

Again, so I can one day live in Nevada, with an identity and job of any type. I'm commenting that medical patients deserve some serious policy reform, by respecting our rights to grow in full, giving us opportunities to get into the industry, and stopping the criminalization of cannabis consumers. Because we are local Nevadans, local agriculture, and part of the cannabis industry. We are human beings, and it's not possible for us to live in this State.