

June 21, 2024

Adriana Guzman Fralick, Chair
Nevada Cannabis Compliance Board
700 E. Warm Springs Road, Suite 100
Las Vegas, Nevada 89119

Re: Support for NCCR 12 – Option C

Dear Chair Guzman Fralick and Members of the CCB:

CPCM Holdings, LLC -DBA- Green & Gold Supply Co. (G&G) submits this letter of support for the proposed regulation language contained in NCCR 12.065 - **Option C**.

As the CCB materials reflect, there is no scientific data of a consumer having an adverse reaction from cannabis, which has been decontaminated (post-harvest) using a CCB approved process. Like the CCB, cultivators have a vested interest in ensuring the quality and safety of our products. This is why growers spend significant time and resources investigating the best way to treat/decontaminate our cannabis. The CCB's approval of the various decontamination processes is also what we and consumers rely on as confirmation that they are safe. For this reason, G&G strongly urges the CCB to support a process where cultivators provide information with their product when transferred to another cannabis establishment, similar to what is commonly known as a "soil amendment.". Specifically, the language would confirm that a CCB approved process was used and include a link to the list of approved processes. By doing so, it lessens the burden on cultivators and retailers and provides a means to which consumers can access valuable post-harvest information, including but not limited to confirming the CCB's approval of the treatment process used.

Regulations should not create new standards for licensees, which the Legislature has not otherwise required by law. For these reasons G&G asks that **Option C** be adopted.

Thank you for your consideration of my comments and recommendations.

Best Regards,
/s/ Mitchell D. Britten
Managing Partner & CEO
CPCM Holdings, LLC

June 21, 2024

Adriana Guzman Fralick, Chair
Nevada Cannabis Compliance Board
700 E. Warm Springs Road, Suite 100
Las Vegas, Nevada 89119

Re: Support for NCCR 12 – Option C

Dear Chair Guzman Fralick and Members of the CCB:

CPCM Holdings LLC -DBA- Smoke & Mirrors (S&M) submits this letter of support for the proposed regulation language contained in NCCR 12.065 - **Option C**.

As the CCB materials reflect, there is no scientific data of a consumer having an adverse reaction from cannabis, which has been decontaminated (post-harvest) using a CCB approved process. Like the CCB, retailers and consumption lounges have a vested interest in ensuring the safety of our consumers. The CCB's approval of the various decontamination processes is also what retail and consumption lounge operators and our consumers rely on as confirmation that products are safe. For this reason, S&M strongly urges the CCB to support a process where cultivators or producers provide information with their products when transferred to a retail or lounge operator, similar to what is commonly known as a "soil amendment." Specifically, the disclosure would confirm that a CCB approved process was used and include a link to the list of approved processes. By doing so, it lessens the burden on retail and consumption lounge operators from a labeling perspective and provides a valid means by which consumers can request access to valuable post-harvest information at our locations, including but not limited to confirming the CCB's approval of the treatment process used. This is consistent with a consumer's right to access pre-harvest treatment information included in the "soil amendment."

Regulations should not create new standards for licensees, which the Legislature has not otherwise required by law. For these reasons S&M asks that **Option C** be adopted.

Thank you for your consideration of my comments and recommendations.

Best Regards,
/s/ Mitchell D. Britten
Managing Partner/CEO
CPCM Holdings, LLC

June 21, 2024

Adriana Guzman Fralick, Chair
Nevada Cannabis Compliance Board
700 E. Warm Springs Road, Suite 100
Las Vegas, Nevada 89119

Re: Support for NCCR 12 – Option C

Dear Chair Guzman Fralick and Members of the CCB:

CPCM Holdings LLC -DBA- Thrive Cannabis Marketplace (THRIVE) submits this letter of support for the proposed regulation language contained in NCCR 12.065 - **Option C**.

As the CCB materials reflect, there is no scientific data of a consumer having an adverse reaction from cannabis, which has been decontaminated (post-harvest) using a CCB approved process. Like the CCB, retailers and consumption lounges have a vested interest in ensuring the safety of our consumers. The CCB's approval of the various decontamination processes is also what retail and consumption lounge operators and our consumers rely on as confirmation that products are safe. For this reason, THRIVE strongly urges the CCB to support a process where cultivators or producers provide information with their products when transferred to a retail or lounge operator, similar to what is commonly known as a "soil amendment." Specifically, the disclosure would confirm that a CCB approved process was used and include a link to the list of approved processes. By doing so, it lessens the burden on retail and consumption lounge operators from a labeling perspective and provides a valid means by which consumers can request access to valuable post-harvest information at our locations, including but not limited to confirming the CCB's approval of the treatment process used. This is consistent with a consumer's right to access pre-harvest treatment information included in the "soil amendment."

Regulations should not create new standards for licensees, which the Legislature has not otherwise required by law. For these reasons THRIVE asks that **Option C** be adopted.

Thank you for your consideration of my comments and recommendations.

Best Regards,
/s/ Mitchell D. Britten
Managing Partner/CEO
CPCM Holdings, LLC



June 24, 2024

Adriana Guzman Fralick, Chair
Nevada Cannabis Compliance Board
700 E. Warm Springs Road, Suite 100
Las Vegas, Nevada 89119

Re: Support for NCCR 12 – Option C

Dear Chair Guzman Fralick and Members of the CCB:

DEC Ops NV submits this letter of support for the proposed regulation language contained in NCCR 12.065 - Option C.

Like the CCB, DEC has a vested interest in ensuring the quality and safety of our products. This is why DEC has spent substantial resources researching the best methods to treat/decontaminate our cannabis. As the CCB materials reflect, there is no scientific data of a consumer having an adverse reaction from cannabis, which has been decontaminated (post-harvest) using a CCB approved process. DEC strongly urges the CCB to support a procedure where cultivators provide information when their product is transferred to another cannabis establishment, similar to what is commonly known as a “soil amendment.” The language should confirm that a CCB approved process was used and include a link to the list of approved processes. By doing so, the burden on cultivators and retailers is reduced while also providing a process whereby consumers can access valuable post-harvest information.

Regulations should not create new standards and burdensome processes for licensees, which the Legislature has not otherwise required by law. For these reasons DEC Ops NV asks that Option C be adopted.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely yours,

DEC Ops NV

TGNV, LLC

June 21, 2024

Adriana Guzman Fralick, Chair
Nevada Cannabis Compliance Board
700 E. Warm Springs Road, Suite 100
Las Vegas, Nevada 89119

Re: Support for NCCR 12 – Option C

Dear Chair Guzman Fralick and Members of the CCB:

TGNV, LLC submits this letter of support for the proposed regulation language contained in NCCR 12.065 - Option C.

As the CCB materials reflect, there is no scientific data of a consumer having an adverse reaction from cannabis, which has been decontaminated (post-harvest) using a CCB approved process. Like the CCB, cultivators have a vested interest in ensuring the quality and safety of our products. This is why growers spend significant time and resources investigating the best way to treat/decontaminate our cannabis. The CCB's approval of the various decontamination processes is also what we and consumers rely on as confirmation that they are safe. For this reason, TGNV, LLC strongly urges the CCB to support a process where cultivators provide information with their product when transferred to another cannabis establishment, similar to what is commonly known as a "soil amendment." Specifically, the language would confirm that a CCB approved process was used and include a link to the list of approved processes. By doing so, it lessens the burden on cultivators and retailers and provides a means to which consumers can access valuable post-harvest information, including but not limited to confirming the CCB's approval of the treatment process used.

Regulations should not create new standards for licensees, which the Legislature has not otherwise required by law. For these reasons TGNV, LLC asks that Option C be adopted.

Thank you for your consideration of my comments and recommendations.

Sincerely yours,

/s/ Zachary A. Kozak, CEO

TGNV, LLC



June 21, 2024

Adriana Guzman Fralick, Chair
Nevada Cannabis Compliance Board
700 E. Warm Springs Road, Suite 100
Las Vegas, Nevada 89119

Re: Support for NCCR 12 – Option C

Dear Chair Guzman Fralick and Members of the CCB:


Clark Natural Medicinal Solutions LLC submits this letter of support for the proposed regulation language contained in NCCR 12.065 - Option C.

As the CCB materials reflect, there is no scientific data of a consumer having an adverse reaction from cannabis, which has been decontaminated (post-harvest) using a CCB approved process. Like the CCB, cultivators have a vested interest in ensuring the quality and safety of our products. This is why growers spend significant time and resources investigating the best way to treat/decontaminate our cannabis. The CCB's approval of the various decontamination processes is also what we and consumers rely on as confirmation that they are safe. For this reason, Clark Natural Medicinal Solutions LLC strongly urges the CCB to support a process where cultivators provide information with their product when transferred to another cannabis establishment, similar to what is commonly known as a "soil amendment.". Specifically, the language would confirm that a CCB approved process was used and include a link to the list of approved processes. By doing so, it lessens the burden on cultivators and retailers and provides a means to which consumers can access valuable post-harvest information, including but not limited to confirming the CCB's approval of the treatment process used.

Regulations should not create new standards for licensees, which the Legislature has not otherwise required by law. For these reasons Clark Natural Medicinal Solutions LLC asks that Option C be adopted.

Thank you for your consideration of my comments and recommendations.

Sincerely yours,

DocuSigned by:


Pejman Bady, Member
Clark Natural Medicinal Solutions, LLC



June 21, 2024

Adriana Guzman Fralick, Chair
Nevada Cannabis Compliance Board
700 E. Warm Springs Road, Suite 100
Las Vegas, Nevada 89119CC

Re: Support for NCCR 12 – Option C

Dear Chair Guzman Fralick and Members of the CCB:

Clark NMSD LLC submits this letter of support for the proposed regulation language contained in NCCR 12.065 - Option C.

As the CCB materials reflect, there is no scientific data of a consumer having an adverse reaction from cannabis, which has been decontaminated (post-harvest) using a CCB approved process. Like the CCB, retail and consumption lounges have a vested interest in ensuring the safety of our consumers. The CCB's approval of the various decontamination processes is also what retail and consumption lounge operators, and our consumers rely on as confirmation that products are safe. For this reason, Clark NMSD LLC strongly urges the CCB to support a process where cultivators or producers provide information with their products when transferred to a retail or lounge operator, similar to what is commonly known as a "soil amendment". Specifically, the disclosure would confirm that a CCB approved process was used and include a link to the list of approved processes. By doing so, it lessens the burden on retail and consumption lounge operators from a labeling perspective and provides a valid means by which consumers can request access to valuable post-harvest information at our locations, including but not limited to confirming the CCB's approval of the treatment process used. This is consistent with a consumer's right to access pre-harvest treatment information included in the "soil amendment."

Regulations should not create new standards for licensees, which the Legislature has not otherwise required by law. For these reasons Clark NMSD LLC asks that Option C be adopted.

Thank you for your consideration of my comments and recommendations.

Sincerely yours,

DocuSigned by:

Pejman Bady, Member
Clark NMSD LLC



Clark NMSD, LLC P.O BOX 6255 Pahrump, NV 89041



June 20, 2024

Adriana Guzman Fralick, Chair
Nevada Cannabis Compliance Board
700 E. Warm Springs Road, Suite 100
Las Vegas, Nevada 89119

Re: Support for NCCR 12 – Option C

Dear Chair Guzman Fralick and Members of the CCB:

Nye Natural Medicinal Solutions LLC submits this letter of support for the proposed regulation language contained in NCCR 12.065 - Option C.

As the CCB materials reflect, there is no scientific data of a consumer having an adverse reaction from cannabis, which has been decontaminated (post-harvest) using a CCB approved process. Like the CCB, cultivators have a vested interest in ensuring the quality and safety of our products. This is why growers spend significant time and resources investigating the best way to treat/decontaminate our cannabis. The CCB's approval of the various decontamination processes is also what we and consumers rely on as confirmation that they are safe. For this reason, Nye Natural Medicinal Solutions LLC strongly urges the CCB to support a process where cultivators provide information with their product when transferred to another cannabis establishment, similar to what is commonly known as a "soil amendment." Specifically, the language would confirm that a CCB approved process was used and include a link to the list of approved processes. By doing so, it lessens the burden on cultivators and retailers and provides a means to which consumers can access valuable post-harvest information, including but not limited to confirming the CCB's approval of the treatment process used.

Regulations should not create new standards for licensees, which the Legislature has not otherwise required by law. For these reasons Nye Natural Medicinal Solutions LLC asks that Option C be adopted.

Thank you for your consideration of my comments and recommendations.

Sincerely yours,

DocuSigned by:


Pejman Bady, Member
Nye Natural Medicinal Solutions, LLC

Nye Natural Medicinal Solutions, LLC
1620 West Charleston Park Ave. Pahrump, NV 89048



June 26, 2024

Cannabis Compliance Board
700 Warm Springs Road, Suite 100
Las Vegas, NV 89119
Via email to: CCBMeetings@ccb.nv.gov

Subject: Hearing on Proposed Changes to NCCR Regulation 12 (Options A, B & C)

Dear Cannabis Compliance Board Members and Director Humm,

On behalf of the members of the Nevada Cannabis Association, we are submitting this comment in advance of the Board meeting on June 28, 2024.

With respect to Options A, B, or C, we support Option C. We surveyed members on the three options proposed by the CCB and the majority of respondents favored Option C.

Option C is the best of the three options because it provides information regarding post-harvest treatment to consumers in a manner that is consistent with pre-harvest treatment. Additionally, Option C represents a compromise that takes into account significant differences in opinion across the industry.

We appreciate the Board and CCB staff's thoughtful consideration of this issue.

Respectfully,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "L. Martin". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Layke A. Martin, Esq.
Executive Director
Nevada Cannabis Association