
From: Marysia Morawska <morawskam@unr.edu>
Sent: Monday, July 25, 2022 4:19 PM
To: CCB Regulations
Subject: 12.065 Regulations Comment

WARNING - This email originated from outside the State of Nevada. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

"When human consumption is on the table, and a plant is being regulated like it is medicine, the importance of regulatory disclosure in radiation should be a primary concern for any producer. The plant material and structure has been compromised from its original form, in order to pass these tests, therefore, the same due diligence in respect for human bodies is only fair. To add to your current proposed regulations, it would also seem only fair that if certain plant compounds are being required as image based definitions, it would make sense that this notification should ALSO use an image based logo to show, in a recognizable manner, that this product has been radiated."

Thank you for your time and for accepting this public comment for your hearing.

Stay well,



Marysia Morawska
Horticulture, Hemp Program
University of Nevada Cooperative Extension
8050 Paradise Road
Las Vegas, Nevada 89123

work-phone: [702-257-5587](tel:702-257-5587)

email: morawskam@unce.unr.edu

website: unce.unr.edu/programs/sites/master



From: Leighton Koehler <lkoehler@planet13holdings.com>
Sent: Monday, July 25, 2022 5:48 PM
To: CCB Meetings
Subject: Public Comment for 7/26/2022 Petition Hearing by MM Development Company, Inc. dba Planet 13

WARNING - This email originated from outside the State of Nevada. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Dear Members of the Cannabis Compliance Board and Executive Director Klimas:

Licensee MM Development Company, Inc. dba Planet 13 requests that the CCB consider additional public hearings and Cannabis Advisory Commission review of the petition being heard on July 26, 2022. We note this petition is very similar to AB322 legislation, which did not pass during the 2021 Nevada legislative session. Given the short notice, we are continuing to review the legislative history and how licensees can engage in providing cannabis to consumers at events in a safe and compliant manner in accordance with Nevada's rules and regulations.

Pending the results of the initial hearing on July 26, 2022, we reserve further comment regarding this petition requesting public consumption of cannabis and sales of cannabis at specified events.

Respectfully,

LEIGHTON KOEHLER

GENERAL COUNSEL

📞 702-815-1313 | 📠 702-308-8430

www.planet13holdings.com



Notice of Confidentiality: This e-mail message, together with any attachments, contains information that may be confidential, proprietary copyrighted and/or legally privileged, and is intended solely for the use of the individual or entity named on this message. If you are not the intended recipient, and have received this message in error, please immediately return this by e-mail and then delete it. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution without approval is prohibited.

From: Carina Robinson <carina@taprootbrands.com>
Sent: Wednesday, July 27, 2022 9:32 AM
To: CCB AuditInspections <AuditInspections@ccb.nv.gov>
Subject: 12.065 Board Public Comments 7/26/2022

WARNING - This email originated from outside the State of Nevada. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Hi CCB Meeting Team,

I would like to please submit my comments for the proposed changes of NCCR 12.065. Unfortunately, I had an Insurance Virtual Audit scheduled at 12noon. I was hoping to make public comments at the end of the meeting but had to leave.

After two years of debating 12.065, I am still at a loss of what the goal of the regulation change is for. If 85% of the market does not need to be treated prior to harvest or after post-harvest, then we must warn the public about the 15% that does. If this process is being used to pass compliance tests, then yes, we should let the public know.

I am still wondering if science has been found proving cannabis treated with radiation or photosynthesis process does not affect humans. How are we comparing this superfood/plant to products approved by the FDA, when it is not.

Also, we need to make sure there is a clear understanding that this public warning label proposed changes do not affect the current Production Label 12.035. The remediation processes used in Nevada and CCB Approval to Extract Failed Materials are very controlled and able to easily audit.

Also, can we please remove the Medical METRC ID# for 12.035 (1)(b)? I requested this change with the Board two meetings ago. Can we please make sure to include my request (the industry's request) in this change?

I am not sure if a workshop will solve this problem in 60 days, but I am willing to participate. I appreciate your time.

Thank you,
Carina

Carina Robinson | Training and Compliance Director
TapRoot Brands
m: 702-546-8847



CONFIDENTIALITY DISCLAIMER: This email and any attachments are intended only for use by the addressee(s) named herein and may contain legally privileged and/or confidential information. If you are not the intended recipient, any dissemination, distribution or copying of this e-mail and any attachments is strictly prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify me and permanently delete the original and all copies and printouts of this e-mail and any attachments.