1 BEFORE THE CANNABIS COMPLIANCE BOARD STATE OF NEVADA 2 3 STATE OF NEVADA, CANNABIS Case No. 2021-49 COMPLIANCE BOARD, 4 Petitioner, 5 6 vs. 7 GREEN CROSS OF AMERICA, INC., Respondent. 8 9 COMPLAINT FOR DISCIPLINARY ACTION 10 The Cannabis Compliance Board of the State of Nevada (the "CCB"), by and through 11 counsel, Aaron D. Ford, Attorney General of the State of Nevada, Mike Detmer, Senior 12 Deputy Attorney General, L. Kristopher Rath, Esq., Senior Deputy Attorney General, and 13 Ashley A. Balducci, Esq., Senior Deputy Attorney General, having a reasonable basis to 14 believe that GREEN CROSS OF AMERICA, INC. ("GCA" or "Respondent") has violated 15 provisions of Chapters 678A through 678D of the Nevada Revised Statutes ("NRS"), and 16 the Nevada Cannabis Compliance Regulations ("NCCR"), hereby issues its Complaint, 17 stating the CCB's charges and allegations as follows: 18 JURISDICTION 19 During all relevant times mentioned in this Complaint, GCA held1 the 1. 20 following licenses: 21 Last Issued / Address License/Certificate ID22 Renewed 6/30/18 Medical Cultivation C035 23 59236238729804377085

12/31/18

24

25

26

27

28

RC035

Adult-use Cultivation

60040931748706185991

As detailed further below, GCA has not properly perfected renewal of its licenses, so they are currently expired.

- 2. During all relevant times mentioned in this Complaint, GCA is and was registered as a domestic corporation in the State of Nevada. The Nevada Secretary of State lists for GCA Moosa Kohanim as President, Secretary, and Director. GCA designated James Allen as the CCB's point of contact. According to the CCB's records as of October 22, 2021, the owners of GCA are Joan Restifo, Steven Restifo, Guy Marzola, John Oceguera, Moosa Kohanim, Charmin Thomas, and Peter Gnecco.
- 3. As GCA holds its expired licenses and certificates with CCB, it is subject to NRS Title 56 and the NCCR for the violations asserted herein. Therefore, GCA is subject to the jurisdiction of the CCB and subject to discipline pursuant to NRS 678A through 678D and the relevant provisions of the NCCR.
- 4. Pursuant to NRS 678A.500 and 678A.510(1), the CCB's Executive Director has transmitted the details of the suspected violations of GCA to the Attorney General and the Attorney General has conducted an investigation of the suspected violations to determine whether they warrant proceedings for disciplinary action. The Attorney General has recommended to the Executive Director that further proceedings are warranted, as set forth in this CCB Complaint. The CCB has authorized proceeding with disciplinary action against GCA, pursuant to NRS 678A.510(2)(b). Pursuant to NRS 678A.520(1), the CCB's Executive Director has authorized service of this Complaint upon Respondent.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 5. CCB incorporates all prior Paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 6. As noted above, GCA is a domestic corporation in the State of Nevada. The Department last renewed its medical cultivation license on June 30, 2018, and the adultuse cultivation license on December 31, 2018. However, the medical cultivation certificate expired on June 30, 2019, and the adult-use cultivation license expired on December 31, 2019. GCA failed to renew in 2020 and 2021. As evidenced below and despite the expiration of the certificate and license, GCA did not cease its operations in medical and/or adult-use cannabis cultivation at its facility located at

(the "Facility").

28 || .

- 7. On or about August 25, 2021, CCB agents arrived at the Facility to conduct a routine inspection. Upon their arrival, CCB agents contacted GCA's attorney/point-of-contact, James Allen ("Allen"), by phone to let him know that they were there to inspect. Allen stated that the Facility was non-operational; there was no product at the Facility; and there was a contractor named "Mack" at the Facility to conduct repairs.
- 8. Thereafter, CCB agents met an individual at the Facility who identified himself as Mack McNeil ("McNeil"). McNeil was the only individual who was observed at the Facility throughout the inspection. In speaking with CCB agents, McNeil stated there was no cannabis within the Facility and that he was a security contractor, but he did not have, nor had he applied for, an agent card.
- 9. Additionally, when the CCB agents requested McNeil provide them access to the Facility, he initially represented that he did not have access to the interior because he did not have an agent card. However, after CCB agents cited their regulatory authority to inspect the Facility, McNeil retrieved keys to unlock the doors and he walked with CCB agents into the Facility.
- 10. During the inspection, CCB agents found that the GCA did not have adequate security measures. These deficiencies include, but are not necessarily limited to:
 - a. GCA's Facility had no functional video surveillance cameras operating and/or recording within the facility. Specifically, CCB agents observed an absence of required security cameras at both entrances to the Facility as well as the 9 rooms within the Facility, 2 of which stored cannabis plants (discussed infra.).
 - b. GCA's security alarm for the facility had been disconnected/severed.
- 11. CCB agents also found in a room labeled as "Mother-Clone", 359 cannabis plant clones divided into 13 trays, as well as 102 untagged cannabis plants, all of which

applications for cannabis agent registration cards. On September 24, 2019, Nye County

28

 24

Board of County Commissioners revoked the Special Use Permits (SU-14-0008 and SU-2014-000043) granted to GCA for medical and recreational marijuana cultivation and revoked the marijuana licenses (MM-2018-000060 and RM-2018-000022) granted to GCA for medical and recreational marijuana cultivation.

15. On or about August 26, 2021, the CCB voted 5-0 to summarily suspend GCA for the deficiencies identified during the routine inspection on August 25, 2021, which imperatively required emergency action to protect the public health, safety and/or welfare. GCA proposed and started to institute corrective action but has yet to correct all deficiencies to lift the summary suspension.

VIOLATIONS OF LAW

- 16. CCB incorporates all prior Paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 17. As to certificate C035 and license RC035, GCA violated NRS 678B.210(1), NRS 678B.250(1), and NCCR 4.035(1)(a)(2), by operating a medical and adult-use cannabis cultivation facility without all required permits, certificates, registrations and/or licenses. Specifically, as set forth in Paragraphs 6 and 14, above, GCA continued and/or allowed medical and/or adult-use cannabis to be cultivated at the Facility despite its state certificates/licenses having expired and local licenses revoked. These are two Category I violations which, pursuant to NCCR 4.035(2)(a) (b), carries a civil penalty of up to \$90,000 and revocation of GCA's license and certificate.
- 18. As to certificate C035 and license RC035, Respondent GCA violated NRS 678B.340, NCCR 5.120, and NCCR 4.055(1)(a)(1) by failing to have a cannabis agent at the Facility in immediate possession of a valid cannabis establishment registration card or proof of registration. Specifically, as set forth in Paragraphs 8 and 14, above, McNeil was working as a "security contractor" without a valid cannabis registration agent card or proof of registration in his possession, as he had applied for neither. Additionally, six owners of record for GCA had no active cannabis registration agent cards or pending applications. These omissions constitute seven Category IV violations which, pursuant to NCCR

 4.055(2), carries a civil penalty of up to \$165,000 and revocation of GCA's certificate and license.

- 19. As to certificate C035 and license RC035, Respondent GCA violated NCCR 5.075, NCCR 4.035(1)(a)(10), and/or NCCR 4.035(1)(a)(11) by failing to immediately admit regulatory personnel into the Facility and/or refusing to allow CCB agents into the premises of the Facility and/or by refusing CCB's inspection of the Facility. Specifically, as set forth in Paragraphs 9 and 12, above, GCA and/or McNeil failed to immediately provide the CCB agents' ingress into the Facility, as its doors were locked, and McNeil stated he could not access/enter the locked Facility. It was not until the CCB Agents cited their regulatory authority to inspect the Facility that McNeil unlocked the Facility's doors and allowed their entry. As set forth above, CCB agents still were denied access to certain portions of the facility, regardless. As a third Category I violation, 4.035(2)(a)(2) requires revocation of GCA's license and certificate. As a fourth Category I Violation, NCCR 4.035(2)(a)(2) requires the revocation of GCA's license and certificate.
- 20. As to certificate C035 and license RC035, Respondent GCA violated NCCR 6.085(1)(c)(3)(III), NCCR 6.085(1)(c)(3)(V) and NCCR 4.040(1)(a)(14), by failing to maintain a required surveillance system. Specifically, as set forth in Paragraph 10, above, CCB agents found no operating and/or recording video surveillance cameras located at neither entrance to the Facility, nor were any surveillance cameras located in any of the 9 rooms within the Facility. This is at least 9 Category II violations, which carries a civil penalty of not more than \$100,000 and revocation of GCA's cultivation license and certificate. NCCR 4.040(2)(a)(1)-(3).
- 21. As to certificate C035 and license RC035, Respondent GCA violated NCCR 6.085(1)(c)(1) and NCCR 4.040(1)(a)(14), by failing to maintain a required security alarm. Specifically, as set forth in Paragraph 10, above, CCB agents found that the Facility's security alarm and been disconnected/severed. As a tenth Category II violation, NCCR 4.040(2)(a) requires the revocation of GCA's cultivation license and certificate. NCCR 4.040(2)(a)(3).

22. As to certificate C035 and license RC035, Respondent GCA violated NRS 678C.430, NCCR 6.080(7), NCCR 6.082, NCCR 4.050(1)(a)(4), and/or NCCR 4.050(1)(a)(3), (4), and (13) by failing to meet seed to sale tracking requirements, failing to tag all plants, and storing cannabis products outside the seed to sale tracking system. Specifically, as set forth in Paragraphs 11 and 12, above, GCA cultivated and/or allowed the cultivation of cannabis without METRC tags on all 6 strains of cannabis plants found in the Mother Clone Room containing 102 mature plants and 4 strains of cannabis plants found in the Unlabeled Room containing 92 mature plants. These violations constitute at least ten Category III violations, which carries a civil penalty of \$220,000 and revocation. NCCR 4.050(2)(a)(1)-(5). In the alternative, the CCB may find up 194 violations for each untagged cannabis plant. If 194 violations are found, the civil penalty is \$220,000 and require revocation of GCA's cultivation license and certificate. NCCR 4.050(2)(a)(1)-(5).

- 23. As to certificate C035 and license RC035, Respondent GCA violated NCCR 4.050(1)(a)(4), and/or NCCR 4.050(1)(a)(13), by failing to meet seed to sale tracking requirements and storing cannabis products outside the seed to sale tracking system. Specifically, as set forth in Paragraph 11, above, GCA cultivated and/or allowed the cultivation of cannabis without inputting data in the states' seed to sale tracking system the 13 trays of clones (cumulatively totaling 359 clones/baby-plants) found within the Facility. As these violations result in more than five Category III violations or they require revocation. NCCR 4.050(2)(a)(1)-(5).
- 24. As to certificate C035 and license RC035, Respondent GCA violated NCCR 4.035(1)(a)(3) by making intentionally false statements to Board Agents. Specifically, as set forth in Paragraphs 7, 11, 12, and 13, above, Allen (as GCA's point of contact and representative) told CCB agents that the Facility was non-operational and further that there was no product in the Facility. In the alternative, even if Allen did not know that the Facility was operational and/or that there was product in the Facility, he nonetheless violated NCCR 4.040(1)(a)(1) for making unintentional false statements to CCB Agents. As intentional false statements, these violations constitute at least one Category I violation or

two separate Category I violations, which requires revocation as either the fourth or fifth Category I violation. As unintentional false statements, these violations constitute at least one additional Category II violation or, in the alternative, two separate Category II violations, which requires revocation. NCCR 4.040(2)(a)(3). As an additional alternative, Allen's statements/conduct amount to one or more instances of the intentional or unintentional concealment of evidence in violation of NCCR 4.035(1)(a)(4) or NCCR 4.040(1)(a)(2), respectively. A violation of NCCR 4.035(1)(a)(4) is a Category I violation and NCCR 4.040(1)(a)(2) is a Category II violation. As these violations result in more than one Category I violation or more than two Category II violations, they require revocation. NCCR 4.035(2)(a)(2); 4.040(2)(a)(3).

As to certificate C035 and license RC035, Respondent GCA violated NCCR 25. 4.035(1)(a)(3) by making intentionally false statements to Board Agents. Specifically, as set forth in Paragraphs 8-9, 11-13, above, McNeil, told CCB agents that he could not access the interior of the Facility and further that there were no cannabis plants within the Facility, both of which he knew to be untrue statements. In the alternative, even if McNeil did not know that he had access to the Facility and/or that the plants within the Facility were cannabis, this nonetheless violated NCCR 4.040(1)(a)(1) as having made an unintentional false statement(s). As intentional false statements, these violations constitute an additional Category I violation or two additional, separate Category I violations, either of which requires revocation. As unintentional false statements, these violations constitute an additional Category II violation or, in the alternative, two additional separate Category II violations, either of which requires revocation. As an additional alternative, McNeil's statements/conduct amount to one or more instances of the intentional or unintentional concealment of evidence in violation of NCCR 4.035(1)(a)(4) or NCCR 4.040(1)(a)(2), respectively. As these violations result in more than one Category I violation or more than two Category II violations, they require revocation. NCCR 4.035(2)(a)(2); NCCR 4.040(2)(a)(3).

. . .

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

 28

3

5

7

8

6

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

21

20

22 23

25

24

26

27 28

DISCIPLINE AUTHORIZED

Pursuant to the provisions of NRS 678A.600, NCCR 4.020, 4.030, 4.035 through 4.060, and 5.100, the CCB has the discretion to impose the following disciplinary actions:

- 1. Suspend the cultivation certificate and license of GCA;
- 2. Impose a civil penalty of not more than \$90,000 for each separate violation of NRS Title 56 and the NCCR on the cultivation certificate and license of GCA; and
 - 3. Take such other disciplinary action as the CCB deems appropriate.

The CCB may order one or any combination of the discipline described above.

RELIEF REQUESTED

Based on the foregoing, counsel for the CCB respectfully requests the CCB impose:

- Revocation of GCA's medical cultivation certificate and adult-use cultivation license; and
- Civil penalties against GCA in the amount of \$565,000.

NOTICE TO RESPONDENT

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE, that Respondent has a right to request a hearing on the charges set forth herein, pursuant to NRS 678A.510 through 678A.590. Failure to demand a hearing constitutes a waiver of the right to a hearing and to judicial review of any decision or order of the Board, but the Board may order a hearing even if the respondent so waives his or her right. NRS 678A.520(2)(e).

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE, you, as the Respondent, must answer this Complaint within 20 days after service of this Complaint, unless granted an extension. Pursuant to NRS 678A.520(2), in the answer Respondent:

- (a) Must state in short and plain terms the defenses to each claim asserted.
- (b) Must admit or deny the facts alleged in the complaint.
- (c) Must state which allegations the respondent is without knowledge or information form a belief as to their truth. Such allegations shall be deemed denied.
- (d) Must affirmatively set forth any matter which constitutes an avoidance or affirmative defense.

 (e) May demand a hearing. Failure to demand a hearing constitutes a waiver of the right to a hearing and to judicial review of any decision or order of the Board, but the Board may order a hearing even if the respondent so waives his or her right.

Failure to answer or to appear at the hearing constitutes an admission by the respondent of all facts alleged in the Complaint. The Board may take action based on such an admission and on other evidence without further notice to the respondent. NRS 678A.520(3).

The Board shall determine the time and place of the hearing as soon as is reasonably practical after receiving the Respondent's answer. The Board may assign a hearing officer to conduct the hearing under NCCR 2.070, 4.095, and 4.110. The Board or its assigned hearing officer shall deliver or send by registered or certified mail a notice of hearing to all parties at least 10 days before the hearing. The hearing must be held within 45 days after receiving the respondent's answer unless an expedited hearing is determined to be appropriate by the Board, in which event the hearing must be held as soon as practicable. NRS 678A.520(4). The Chair of the Board or the assigned hearing officer may grant one or more extensions to the 45-day requirement pursuant to the request of a party or an agreement by both parties.

Respondent's Answer and Request for Hearing must be either: mailed via registered mail, return receipt; or emailed to:

Tyler Klimas, Executive Director Cannabis Compliance Board 555 E. Washington Avenue, Suite 4100 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 tklimas@ccb.nv.gov

If served by email, Respondent must ensure that it receives an acknowledgement of receipt email from CCB as proof of service.

As the Respondent, you are specifically informed that you have the right to appear and be heard in your defense, either personally or through your counsel of choice at your own expense. At the hearing, the CCB has the burden of proving the allegations in the

Complaint. The CCB will call witnesses and present evidence against you. You have the right to respond and to present relevant evidence and argument on all issues involved. You have the right to call and examine witnesses, introduce exhibits, and cross-examine opposing witnesses on any matter relevant to the issues involved.

You have the right to request that the CCB issue subpoenas to compel witnesses to testify and/or evidence to be offered on your behalf. In making this request, you may be required to demonstrate the relevance of the witness's testimony and/or evidence.

If the Respondent does not wish to dispute the charges and allegations set forth herein, within 30 days of the service of this Complaint, Respondent may pay the civil penalties set forth above in the total amount of \$ 565,000 and surrender its certificate and license on notice to:

Tyler Klimas, Executive Director Cannabis Compliance Board 555 E. Washington Avenue, Suite 4100 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

YOU ARE HEREBY ORDERED to immediately cease the activity described above which is a violation of Nevada law.

DATED: October 26, 2021.

STATE OF NEVADA CANNABIS COMPLIANCE BOARD

Tyler Klimas, Executive Director 555 E. Washington Avenue, Suite 4100 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 (702) 486-2300

AARON D. FORD Attorney General

Mike Detroer (Bar No. 10873) Senior Deputy Attorney General Ashley A. Balducci (Bar No. 12687) Senior Deputy Attorney General L. Kristopher Rath (Bar No. 5749) Senior Deputy Attorney General 555 E. Washington Ave, Suite 3900 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

(702) 486 - 9287

Attorneys for the Cannabis Compliance Board

certified mail: 7019 2280 0002 0378 5228

DECLARATION AND CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE OF COMPLAINT FOR DISCIPLINARY ACTION (Service via Mail)

I, A	Amber	Virkler,	hereby	certify	and	affirm	that:
------	-------	----------	--------	---------	-----	--------	-------

1. I am over the age of 18 years old.

- 2. I am a Board Agent of the Cannabis Compliance Board ("CCB"), as defined in NCR 1.068.
- 3. Pursuant to NRS 678A.520 and NCCR 4.075, I have served the Respondent herein with the Complaint for Disciplinary Action ("Complaint") in the above captioned matter as follows:

By placing a true and correct copy of the Complaint to be deposited for mailing in the United States Mail in a sealed envelope via registered or certified mail, prepaid in Las Vegas, Nevada, to Respondent's point of contact with the CCB under NCCR 2.050 at Respondent's address on file with the Board as follow:

Name of point of contact served: <u>James Allen</u>	
Address on file with CCB:	
Date of Service: October 26,2021	

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on_	October 24,2021	(Styll Kin		
(date)		(signature)		